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Abstract
Purpose – To extend our understanding of conceptual frameworks and epistemological assumptions in classification research. I survey recent reviews and empirical inquiry that features the concept of boundary objects, and discuss their implications for classification research. Further, I discuss the problems posed when predominant discourses concerning classification research inhibit gaining an understanding of classification practices as socially, historically and culturally constructed. I propose a line of inquiry into classification practices in large scale infrastructure that considers locating and describing the particular, situated, socio-material relationships where a standard classification is used in practice.

Design/Methodology/Approach – Based on an assessment of contributions drawn from review literature, and a detailed analysis of two frequently-cited case studies, I examine the concept known as “boundary object” drawn from Star & Greisemer (1989) and its enactment in key examples of classification research. I assess these studies in relation to Hjorland’s (2005) concern with characterizing the influence of empiricist, rationalist and positivist epistemologies and Day’s (2011) call for conceptual critique of theoretical models that extends to practice, method and the notion of theory and disciplinary foundation.

Findings – Adaptation of a social constructivist theoretical framework in classification research continues to demonstrate a strong tendency toward a positivist epistemological paradigm. Overcoming these assumptions is essential for moving towards critical inquiry of the political and ethical dimensions of classification practices; studying standard international classification and contributing to theories of classification.
Originality/Value – This research offers a conceptual analysis and discussion of the empirical aptness of a constructivist approach to understanding classification practices with a view to informing future directions in methodology for classification research.

Keywords – Classification research, boundary object, epistemology

Paper Type – conceptual analysis

References


