Alexander H. Stephens

The Bradford manuscript has had a curious history. Bradford left it to his son and for some years it continued in the possession of the Bradford descendants, but after having been used by three or four early historical writers it disappeared. In 1855 it was discovered in the library of the Bishop of London, probably having been carried to England by Governor Hutchinson, who was using it in the preparation of his history of Massachusetts Bay.

A copy was made for the Massachusetts Historical Society and edited by Charles Deane, was printed in the collections of that society in 1856. In this form it has long been known to historical students having access to the larger libraries. The State of Massachusetts made several unsuccessful attempts to recover the manuscript, but was not finally successful until 1897, and in the following year the manuscript and an account of the proceedings incident to its delivery were published by the State.

The present volume is based on the Massachusetts reprint and has the advantage of Mr. Davis' careful editing and an interesting introductory chapter. It is hardly necessary to comment on the importance of Governor Bradford's history for "without it the history of the Plymouth Colony, now so complete, would have been, so far as its early years are concerned, involved in mystery." The story is interestingly and quaintly told by Bradford, and we are fortunate in having it now in usable and convenient form and at a price within the reach of all.

—EDWARD McMahan.


One who expects to find in this volume a new life of Alex. H. Stephens is destined to disappointment. The volume is a scissors-and-paste pot condensation of two earlier biographies of Stephens, together with a few selections or condensations from Stephens' "War Between the States." Practically the only parts contributed by the author are three chapters in which, as "a fellow Georgian," he tells the reader some things he thinks the reader ought to know, not that they have any direct bearing on Stephens' character or actions, but apparently on the theory that they should be known.

The first biography of Stephens came from the pen of Henry Cleveland in 1866, and is a meagre account, chiefly valuable for
the letters and speeches it contains. This was followed by a bet­
er and longer sketch, written by Stephens’ two friends Johnson
and Browne, and like the earlier volume, was written while Steph­
en was living. In fact, the first edition of Johnson and Browne
was read in manuscript by Stephens. A later edition (1878),
after his death, is substantially the same work with supplement­
ary chapters dealing with his last years, his death, and the eu­
logies delivered in that connection. Manifestly these volumes
have little value in giving a perspective estimate of the man, and
are more eulogistic than discriminating.

In a work appearing a generation after Stephens’ death we
have a right to expect that these faults be eliminated, but this
volume in no sense meets the expectation. It is almost within
the bounds of truth to say that this work is simply a brief re­
hash of Johnson and Browne’s volume. The author seems utterly
ignorant of the fact that the Missouri Compromise had no bear­
ing on territory acquired after the compromise was made, for
in his view the Wilmot proviso “openly violated the covenant of
the Missouri Compromise” (92). In answer to the complaints
made at the time that the Kansas-Nebraska bill “abrogated the
Missouri Compromise” we are informed that that compromise
“was abrogated, though the fact was not officially stated, when
the compromise measures of 1850 were adopted through the in­
fluence of Clay and Webster” (132).

To judge by this book alone Mr. Pendleton has no concep­
tion of the canons of historical writing. Time after time letters
of Stephens’ are summarized, no reference is given, and the reader
is left to conclude that the letters themselves have been consulted.
One has only to turn to the older works on Stephens to find the
same letters summarized in almost exactly the same words. A
letter quoted (99) from Waddell’s Life of Linton Stephens is
given in Johnson and Browne (251) in a distinctly different form
and one is tempted to believe that Mr. Pendleton did not see any
of Stephens’ letters, but has copied them bodily from other sources
in many cases without due credit. In this connection it is worth
noting that not a single reference is made to the Congressional
Globe for Stephens’ speeches, all of them being cited from the
older biographies which are out of print.

The three chapters not compiled from the older biographies
of Stephens are chapters VII, XI and most of XII. The seventh
deals with “nullification at the North,” in which the author sets
forth at length the practical nullification of the Fugitive Slave
Act by the various Northern States, and shows that the radical
abolitionists were opposed to the constitution and the Union. Chapter XI, entitled "Seventy Years of Dis-union," is a defense of secession, in which the author, beginning with the colonial period, traces the growth of centralization and cites abundant evidence to show that the fathers held the view that the Union was composed of sovereign States and that the constitution was a compact under which each State reserved its sovereign rights. The Virginia and the Kentucky resolutions, the New England conspiracy of 1803-4, Burr's conspiracy, the Hartford convention, Georgia's defiance of the Federal government, and other less important instances of like character are discussed to prove that secession and nullification were contemplated by many persons and sections before the Southerners made the final attempt following Lincoln's election. The thirteenth chapter deals with the "South's handicaps in the war" and shows that one of these was Stephens himself. His opposition to Davis' policies grew as the war went on and was the outgrowth of his constitutional opposition to the centralization of power in Davis' hands, and to what Stephens believed to be unwise and illegal action of the government in carrying out its war policy. Mr. Pendleton has made an endeavor to throw new light on Stephens' character by a search in the contemporary newspapers of Georgia, but no important information has been discovered.

On the whole the publishers have a certain justification in reprinting the most important parts of the earlier lives of Stephens which are now out of print, but it would have been better to have called this volume a reprint or condensation with notes by Mr. Pendleton. In any other guise the book is sailing under false colors. The bibliography appended is worthless.

—EDWARD McMahan.


When Stephen A. Douglas died in Chicago forty-seven years ago the Chicago Tribune said editorially, "That the place which the departed statesman occupied in the National Councils can not, in any true sense, be filled, all will agree." Four days later in an estimate of him the same paper said, "No man has died in many a decade whose death will be so widely felt as that of him whose body was yesterday borne through our streets. * * * He was the Democratic party of the North. * * * His under-