

west Coast," by Lalla R. Boone. It is part of the author's dissertation for the doctor of philosophy degree at the University of California. It is a valuable contribution to the literature of the Pacific Northwest. She cites an extensive bibliography in her footnotes. Many of the items are familiar sources but some are new references to archives in London, such as "Puget, Journal of the *Chatham*, page 27; Ms. in British Museum, Add. Mss. 17549," and several others to "Puget, Log of the *Discovery*, January, 1791-January, 1793, April 16, 1792. Ms. Admiralty, 57/27." She cites the *Oregon Historical Quarterly*, but for some reason omitted citation to a number of original materials in the *Washington Historical Quarterly*. Publication of the entire dissertation would be welcomed.

---

*The Pacific Historical Review*. By THE PACIFIC COAST BRANCH OF THE AMERICAN HISTORICAL ASSOCIATION. (Glendale, California: The Arthur H. Clark Company, June, 1934. \$4.00 the year.)

This is what is known as the "Proceedings Number." Most of the papers reproduced from the twenty-ninth annual meeting were devoted to British history. Among others they included: "The Duke of Newcastle, Ecclesiastical Minister, 1724-54" by Professor Donald G. Barnes of the University of Washington, and "The British Commonwealth and the Collective System" by Professor Walter N. Sage of the University of British Columbia.

The rich array of book reviews include three of particular interest to the Pacific Northwest: Henry R. Wagner's *Spanish Explorations in the Strait of Juan de Fuca*, by Professor John C. Parish, of the University of California at Los Angeles; E. W. Gilbert's *The Exploration of Western America, 1800-1850*, by Professor Dan E. Clark of the University of Oregon; and Constance Lindsay Skinner's *Beaver, Kings and Cabins*, also by Professor Clark.

The issue of the same *Review* for September, 1934, contains an article by John T. Ganoë of the University of Oregon on "Some Constitutional and Political Aspects of the Ballinger-Pinchot Controversy." Here is the concluding sentence: "In view of the fact that Ballinger made proposals more far-reaching than anything proposed by Pinchot, one is inclined to discredit the popular view of Ballinger in the controversy."