The major shortcoming of the book, which is otherwise well crafted, is the unfortunate English translation. While the expository language passes for understandable, it takes a reader cognizant of the original context to make sense of many passages. For example, in pp. 176ff we find the place-name Freising consistently referred to as Freising (sic). The non-Slavist English reader would be puzzled by "word treasure" (97), a calque of besedni zaklad, which should have been translated 'word-stock'; or be utterly baffled by a phrase like "the keeping of non-existent vowels" (117), a mistranslation of, evidently, ohranitev neobstojnih polglasnikov 'the preservation of fleeting vowels'. There are so many typos, misspellings, and translation gaffes—something of this kind on nearly every page—that one wonders what the function of the title "proofreader" denoted in this case.

In sum, this is an important work for both the detail and perspective it gives on the historically occluded account of the eastern Slovene contributions to the modern Slovene literary language. It is a story that deserves not only to hold a place in Slavists' store of knowledge but also to be integrated into a more elaborate and nuanced understanding of the emergence of new forms of public language connected with the national entities knit from the threads of unraveling empire.

Marc L. Greenberg, University of Kansas


What is it, what we are holding in our hands? A politico-historical work? Or a musicological analysis? Both and much more! In any case, both the title and the illustration on the cover of the book arouse the viewer's curiosity and interest.

Punk: this is a social phenomenon that also has relevance in the world of art (and especially music). Nevertheless, general encyclopedias

---

1 Cf. http://images-eu.amazon.com/images/P/389622073X.01.LZZZZZZZ.jpg: the picture is one of the typical provocative covers from the student magazine <i>Mladina</i>.
of music either do not even mention punk,\textsuperscript{2} or offer only a brief explanation of it.\textsuperscript{3} The reason for this may be a certain insecurity and reluctance among researchers to approach this very elusive socio-cultural phenomenon, which lacks a clear delineation and which can hardly be defined. Furthermore, many people perceive punk as something strange, only to be examined from a distance. With all of its provocative aspects, it is frightening and sometimes even repulsive.

Nonetheless, Alenka Barber-Kersovan takes on the challenge of characterizing punk. In the first part of her work, she provides not only a description of the phenomenon of punk in all of its facets around the world, but also describes its expression and the special role it has played in Slovenia in detail. The following chapter headers from the first part of the book are indicative of its focus and scope: \textit{I. Recategorization of Anglo-American Music in Slovenia; II. Student Cultural Organizations as the Basis for the Slovene Punk Movement} (including: The Slovene Student Revolt, The Rock Channel "Radio student," The Student Center for Cultural Life; The Established Music Industry, The Slovene Independents and Punk; The Province Strikes Back); and \textit{III. The Bands}.

Barber-Kersovan appears to successfully walk the difficult tightrope of scholarly writing about a subculture without indulging in typical clichés or succumbing to shallowness. In doing so, she succeeds in providing well-researched observations on this phenomenon, some of which help destroy certain misconceptions. For example, by providing a detailed analysis and redefinition of Nazi-like symbols, Barber-Kersovan shows that the general societal characterization of punks as Nazis or fascists is completely unfounded.

The author also consistently adheres to her methodology, which demonstrates her rigorous scholarly approach. She discusses and reasons out her own methodology (e.g., pointing out why she considers a specific type of analysis of lyrics appropriate in various cases, cf. 294). In addition, the author also includes an esthetic approach to punk-culture: by means of an array of examples (illustrations from newspapers, posters, and photographs) as well as comparisons with representatives from "classical" music (e.g., Richard Wagner, cf. 247, 251) she stresses the

\textsuperscript{2} E.g., the \textit{Riemanns Musiklexikon} (Mainz, 1928–) or the encyclopedia \textit{Musik in Geschichte und Gegenwart} (Kassel, 1997).

\textsuperscript{3} E.g., \textit{The New Grove} (vol. 20, p. 600, article by Alan F. Moore; ed. 1996) or in Metzler's \textit{Neues Lexikon der Musik} (vol. 3, Stuttgart, 1996).
possible broader understanding of the punk phenomenon in the sense of a synthesis of the arts.\footnote{Considering the use of audiovisual media, one might partly regard the products of punk culture as synthetic culture.}

In reading the first part of the book, the question is raised whether it may compel readers to change their attitude towards any "subculture" or—to an even more pronounced degree in the second part—to develop a liking for the world of punk. In any case, it can be assumed that readers' perceptions of groups of people that are marginalized by our society will be affected by reading this book. Last but not least, the following question is raised: Which emotional relation does the author have toward the Slovene "subculture" of punk alongside her many years of scholarly work in this field?

The second half of the book (231–) provides answers to a number of questions that generated by the first part of the book: What is behind this punk phenomenon? What impact has it had in Slovenia? Part two of the book also forges links between the description and the interpretation of punk, the relevance of which was steadily increasing in the 1980s for the political situation in Slovenia.

As a contemporary witness, the author introduces the "Western Bloc" reader to the logic system of the communist "establishment" (as the communist authorities are consistently referred to by Barber-Kersovan). At the same time, she carefully makes her way through all the various names of the numerous organs of a communist state—despite the very good translations of these into German, these are nonetheless difficult to grasp for those not familiar with the system. In addition, she sheds light on the interrelations between these organs and society. The increasingly special role played by sociopolitical development in Slovenia from the mid 1980s onwards, in comparison with the other republics of Yugoslavia, becomes obvious. The author describes, on the one hand, the gradual transition from a subculture to "sub-politics" (447), and, on the other hand, the interaction between state repression of punk culture and its reactions\footnote{"Such repressions, however, caused the opposite of what the establishment sought to achieve" (438, my translation).} that eventually accelerated the democratization process in Slovenia.
Barber-Kersovan’s work is an extremely successful study of the phenomenon of punk in Slovenia. Not only is it unique in terms of its scope and its in-depth analysis, but it will definitely be of interest to political scientists, sociologists, musicologists, philosophers, philologists, socio-linguists and journalists as well. I hope that it will reach as many of these readers as possible.

*Vera Tiefenthaler, Vienna*


The recent publication *Slovenski glagol: oblikoslovni priročnik in slovar slovenskih glagolov / Slovene Verb: A Morphological Manual and Dictionary of Slovene Verbs* will be a welcome addition to the libraries of beginning students of Slovene, assisting them in both encoding and decoding Slovene verbal forms.

The book begins with an introduction (6–7) and grammatical overview of verb classification and conjugation patterns (8–20), followed by an alphabetical listing of 2,610 accented infinitives (aktivirati—žvižgati, 21–196) with the 1st singular present, imperatives, masculine and feminine 1-participles, and an English gloss; an alphabetical listing of present-tense forms with the infinitive and an English gloss (197–255); an alphabetical listing of irregular 1-participles with the infinitive form and an English gloss (256–65); and a list of sources and literature (267–68). The main part of the work—the alphabetically listed infinitives—includes aspectual labels and numerical keying to the classification scheme (e.g., *blesti (impf)* 2.11.2), an indication of frequency (e.g., *jesti*** [= very frequent]), and alternate accentual or conjugational forms (e.g., *páziti/paziti* and *jókam + jóčem*).

The classification scheme is the same as that used in the latest normative guide:¹ a five-way division by 1st present endings (*-am, -em, -im, -jem, -m*) with subtypes for various corresponding infinitive endings, apparent insertions, and so on. The arrangement is a pragmatic one,
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