

INDO-EUROPEAN O-GRADE DEVERBAL THEMATICS IN SLOVENE

Eric P. Hamp

My dear friend Rado Lencek has always been insistent on the search for and presentation of adequate supporting data for linguistic formulations.* It is important, of course, for the history of the Slovene language that we assemble a sufficient documentation of every type of word formation. There is a quite separate interest, however, in gaining an appreciation of the proportionate survival of a formation after some five millennia of reconstructible history. In the case of a complex and ramified family of languages like Indo-European, moreover, there is an additional interest in tracing the survival of such a formation in one modern language of a large and diversified branch such as Slavic. Indeed it would be interesting to have comparable data for each of the Slavic languages.

From my work of recent years I have come to realize that there is yet a further need for us to redouble our efforts on the assembly of such evidential collections for Indo-European. When we consult many of the best standard handbooks, we find very brief listings of examples to support important formation types. Naturally, space is limited, a few well chosen examples go a very long way, too long a listing interrupts the exposition and obscures the point and formulation at issue, and so on. But surely, in **some** compilation we should be able to find types from all attested witnesses represented. Furthermore, we should like to have a sense of the proportionate wealth, texture, and distribution of that attestation. If we consider the IE deverbal thematics in *o*-grade (*τομός* 'cutting', *τόμος* 'a slice', *τομή* 'a cutting, stump') we find the categories morphologically scattered and eclectically exemplified in Brugmann (1904:332),¹ para. 409.1: Skt. *tārā-s*,² Gk. *τορός*. Skt. *ajá-s*, Gk. ἄγος, Lat. *prōd-igus*. Skt. *vāhā-s*, *codā-s*.³ Gk. πομπός, ἀρωγός [:ἀρῆγω 'help, aid'], κλοπός. Lat. *procus*.⁴ Goth. *wraks*, OHG *warg*.⁵ (There then follow two examples of instances in compounds, which need not concern us here.)⁶ And then, for the recessive accented⁷ and feminine,⁸ (1904:343-44) para. 423.1: Skt. *jána-s*, *jána-m*,⁹ *janā*, Gk. γόνος, γονή [:adj. γονός]. Skt. *srāva-s*, Gk. ρόος, ροή, Lith *sravà* [:Skt. -*srava-s* 'fliessend'].¹⁰ Gk. χρόμος, OCS. *gromū*.¹¹ Gk. θόλος, Goth. *dal* n., ON *dalr*, OCS *dolū*.¹² The other examples in this section of Brugmann are either different derivations, agreeing only in thematic vowel, or are non-diagnostic for vocalism. The exemplification given by Brugmann for such an important and informative set of classes is really most disappointing.

Meillet, as we might expect from his masterful and laconic style, gives a compact and lucid account of this set of formations (1934:257), but still his examples are sparse, even scant, very limited in coverage, and weakly explanatory. He remarks for the barytone (*τομός*) type that instances are frequent in Indo-Iranian, Balto-Slavic, and Greek, but that they are rare in Western IE. His examples for the nomina actionis are OCS *snēgū*, Lith. *sniēgas*, Goth. *snaiws*; Avest. *takō*, OCS. *tokū*; Gk. φόρος, λόγος, πλό(Φ)ος, στοῖχος; and for semantically concretized instances Skt. *jámbhaḥ*, OCS. *zqbū*, Lith. *žam̥bas*,¹³ Gk. γόμφος. OHG *kamb*; OCS *vozū*, Gk. (Φ)όχος. He gives no examples for Tocharian, Albanian, Celtic, or Armenian.¹⁴

For the oxytone (*τομός*) type, Meillet furnishes the nomina agentis (often adjectives) Gk. τομός, τροχός, λοιπός, σκοπός, ὄλκός, Skt. *varāḥ* 'prétendant', *śokāḥ* 'brillant', *ghanāḥ*¹⁵ 'massue', Lat. *procus*.¹⁶ For such an important and penetrating portion of the grammar of IE even Meillet was remiss in not presenting and reviewing a far more adequate

data base.

Still today, a half century later, the picture remains the same. Adolf Erhart (1982:121) gives seven sets with a few examples each, largely repeating Meillet, except that the types are ill sorted out. Simenschy and Ivănescu (1981:272-73) simply reproduce Meillet's inventory.

Let us now repair that lacuna within Slavic and present the documentation for Slovene in its true proportions. The following collection rests on an attentive reading of Pleteršnik. This type of formation survives, it is seen, in a remarkably rich array. So far as possible, I pair each thematic derivative with a synchronic cognate or base form. Derivatives registered in Vondrák's comparative grammar are marked with ●.¹⁷ It must be remembered that Winter's lengthening (even in cases lacking clear external cognates), liquid metathesis, and nasalization must be reckoned with. The present list is basically restricted to masculine *o-stems, i.e., ū-primaries.¹⁸

- *blēsk* 'glare' :: *blískati* (*se*), *bólskati* 'flash lightning' (OCS *bl̥isnqti*)
- *blōd* 'error' (OCS *blqdū* ἀσωτία) :: *blésti* 'talk nonsense' (OCS *bl̥estī*)
- bōd* 'das Stechen (an illness)' :: *bósti*, *bōdati*, *bodálō* 'dagger'
- *bōj bója* 'battle' :: *bíti bījem*
- *zbōr zbóra* 'assembly' (SCr. *zbōr zbōra*, OCS *sūborū* συνέδριον) :: *zbráti*
 zbérem
- *brōd* 'ford' :: *brésti*, *bredénje*, *brēdnja* 'wading'
- *brōj brója* 'number' :: *bríti* 'shave' ← 'cut'
 būd 'Aufmunterung' :: *budíti*
- *cvēt* 'blossom' (OCS *cvětū*) :: *cvéstī*, OCS *cvětq*
- *razdōr razdóra* 'disunion' (OCS *razdorū* σχίσμα) :: *razdréti razdérem* 'take apart'
- *dūh dūha* 'breath' [: *dúha* 'Geruch'] :: *díhati* 'breathe', *díšati* 'smell good, emit a smell'
- *gnōj gnojā* 'dung' :: *gníti*
- *gòn góna* 'the chase' :: *gónti*, *gnáti žénem*
 gòr góra 'manure heap, pile' (← *'spontaneous heat') :: *goréti*, *žár*
- *grōb gróba* 'grave' (SCr. *grōb grōba*, OCS *grobū* τάφος) :: *grébsti* 'dig'
- *grōm* 'thunder' (SCr. *grōm grōma*, OCS *gromū*) :: *grméti*
- *pogróziti* 'dip' (OCS *pogrōzū* 'immersio') :: -*grézniti* (OCS -*gręznqti*)
- *hōd hóda* ~ *hōd hodā* 'walk' :: *hóditi*, *šél*
 kād 'smoke' ← **kodo-* :: *čād*, *čadíti* 'make dust' ← **kedo-*
- *sklād skláda* 'synthesis, composition' :: *s-klásti* :: *priklād*, *prikláda*
- *pókoj pokója* 'peace' (OCS *po-kojī*) :: *počíti* (OCS *po-čiti*)
 kòl kóla 'stake' :: *kláti*, *kóljem*
- *zákon zakóna* 'law' (OCS *za-konū* νόμος) :: *začéti* (OCS *za-četi*)
- *kràt kráta* 'time, Mal' (OCS *kratū*) :: *načrtati* (OCS *nā-črūtati*)
- *kròv króva* 'roof' :: *kriti* 'cover'
- *krùh krúha* 'bread' :: *křhati*
- *po-lòg pológa* (= OCS *prě-logū*), *lóga* 'deposit; evening attention to and provision for animals', *po-lóga* 'deposit', (Gk. λόχος 'lair', Toch.A *lake*, Toch.B *leke* 'bed') :: *loga* 'lair'
- *lōj* 'tallow' (OCS *lojī*) :: *líti* (OCS *lijati*)
- *lōk* 'bow' :: *lécati* 'bend', *lékniti*

- lòm lóma* ‘break, fracture’, *polòm polóma* :: *lóm̥iti*, *lémež* ‘ploughshare’
- *mòr móra*, *pomòr pomóra* ‘death, pestilence’ :: *mréti mr(j)em* (: *umòr* ‘murderer’)
 - *mrák mráka* ‘darkening’ :: *mŕkati*
 - *mráz mráza* ‘cold, frost’ :: *mŕzniti*
 - pád páda* ‘fall’ ← **podo-* :: *pasti pádati*¹⁹
 - *plôt plôta* ‘wattle’ :: *pléstī*
 - *prâh prâha* ‘dust’ :: *přhati* ‘stieben’, *pršéti* ‘drizzle’
 - *sóprog sopróga* ‘spouse’ (: OCS *súprqgū*) :: *vpréči vpréžem*, *vprégati* ‘einspannen’, OCS *pręgō* (v- ‘ein-’)
 - pûh pûha* ‘a blast’ :: *pháti phäm/pšém* ‘push’
 - *ròj rója* ‘swarm’ (: *izrojíti* ‘swarm’, OCS *iz(d)rojī*) :: *ríiniti* ‘push’ (OCS *rinqtī*)
 - *ròv róva* ‘ditch’ :: *rítī ríjem* ‘dig’
 - sâd sâda* ‘fruit, Ansatz, garden bed’ ← **sodo-* :: *sédēti*, *sésti*
 - skòk, skóka* ‘a jump’ (: SCr. *Skok* ‘a jumper’) :: *skóčiti*
 - *slûh* ‘hearing’ :: *slíšati*
 - *smrâd smrâda* ‘a stench’ (Russ. *smórod*, SCr. *smrad*) :: *smrdéti*
 - *zásov zasóva* ‘bolt, bar’, *zásova* f. :: *suváti*, *súniti*
 - *srâb, svrâb* ‘scab’ (OCS *svrabū*) :: *srběti* ‘itch’ (OCS *svriběti*)
 - *strôj* ‘machine’ :: *stŕniti* ‘put together’
 - *ostròv ostróva* ‘island’ :: *strúja*, *strújati*, *strújiti*, *strúmen*
 - *stûd* ‘disgust’ (OCS *studū*) :: *stîd* ‘shame’, OCS *styděti* se
 - *têsk* ‘press (agent)’ :: *tískati*
 - tlâk tláka* ‘trodden/packed floor; pressure’ :: *tléči tólčem* ‘hit’
 - *tòk tóka* ‘flow, stream’ (Lith. *tâkas* ‘river bed’) :: *téči téčem* ‘run’
 - *trâp trápa* ‘dunce’ (: OCS *utrapū ἐκστασις*) :: *trpěti* ‘suffer’ (: OCS *u-triñqti* -*triþpěti*; Lith. *tiřpti* ‘melt’, perhaps)
 - *trôs* ‘earthquake’ (OCS *trqsū σεισμός*) :: *tr̥es*, *trésti* (OCS *tr̥esq*)
 - vlâk* ‘train’, *vláka* f. ‘pulling, sled’ :: *vléči* ‘pull’ (Lith. *valkà* :: *viłkti*)
 - *vòd vóda* ‘Führung’ (: Lith. *vâdas* ‘Führer’), *prevòd prevóda* ‘conduct, transfer, translation’ :: *vésti védem*
 - *po-vôj* ‘swaddling band’ (OCS *po-vojī*) :: *víti* ‘wind’
 - *vôz vóza* ‘wagon’ :: *vóziti*, *vésti vézem* ‘sticken’
 - *vóza* f. = *véz* ‘bond’ (: OCS *sü-qzū σύνδεσμος*) :: *vqže* ‘rope’, *vózel* ‘knot’, *vézati vézem* ‘bind’²⁰
 - *izvrâg* ‘Das Auswerfen’ (OCS *iz-vragū ἐκτρωμα*) :: *izvréči* ‘extrude’ (: OCS *vréšti*)
 - *vrât vrâta* ‘neck’ :: *vŕniti*, *vrtéti* ‘drehen’, *vréteño*
 - *povrâz povráza* ‘binding, rope (*agent)’ (OCS *po-vrazū* ‘Lappen’) :: *povréšlo* ‘sheaf-band’ (: OCS *vrízq* *vrésti*)
 - *znôj* ‘heat’ (OCS *znojī*) :: *znótiti* ‘heat up’²¹
 - *po-zòj po-zója* ‘dragon’ :: *zíniti* ‘yawn’ (OCS *zéjq*)
 - *zòr zóra* ‘glare, dawn, power of sight’ :: *zréti zrèm*
 - *zòv zóva* ‘shout’ :: *zváti zóvem*
 - *zvôk* ‘noise’ (Russ., Cz. *zvuk*) :: *zvékniti* (OCS *zvęknqti*), *zvèk zvéka* (: Lith. *žvangulis* ‘(horse) bell’)²²

Finally, we find some derivatives unmatched by a synchronic cognate or base form:

- bòr bóra* ‘battle’
- *glâd glâda* ‘hunger’ :: OCS *žlîděti*, SCr. *žúdjeti*
 - *glâs glâsa* ‘voice’
 - *hlâd* ‘coolness’ (OCS *xladû*)
 - *lêp* ‘glue, bird lime’ (OCS *lêpû* ‘viscum’) :: OCS *lipěti* ‘adhaerere’, Gk. λίπος, λιπαρός, Pre-Hellenic ἀλείφω
 - *lòv lóva* ‘hunt’ (→ *loviti*) :: *lóva* ‘Fang’, *polòv* ‘Abfang’
odmòr odmóra ‘rest’ :: Lat. *mora* ‘delay’, SCr. *odmor* ‘rest’, *umor* ‘fatigue’
pâz ‘attention’ (probably)
 - *ròk róka* ‘terminus’ :: SCr. *rôk ròka/ròka*
 - *slòj slója* ‘layer’ :: Cz. *sloj*
 - *snêg snégâ* ‘snow’²³ :: Lith. *sniñga* ‘snows’
 - *stòg stóga* ‘haystack pole’ :: OCS *stogû*
trâk trâka ‘band, ribbon’ :: Lat. *torqueō?*
 - *obèt obéta* ‘vow’ (OCS *obětû* ἐνχή) *-větû
 - *oblâk* ‘cloud’ (OCS *ob-lakû*) :: OCS *vlékq*
 - *vòj vója* ‘leader; army’
 - *vrâg* ‘devil’ :: OCS *vragû* ‘enemy’, Lith. *vařgas* ‘hardship’
 - *zq̩b zq̩ba* ‘tooth’²⁴
 - *zrak* ‘air’ (OCS *zrakû* εῖδος) :: OCS *zričalo* ‘mirror’
 - *zvq̩n zvq̩na* ‘bell’ :: Alb. Geg *zâ*, def. *zâni*, Tosk. *zë*, def. *zëri* ‘(the) voice’, Toch. B *kene* ‘melody’.

University of Chicago

NOTES

- * This paper was written for *Lubi Slovenci. A Festschrift to Honor Rado L. Lencek on the Occasion of his Sixty-Fifth Birthday* [= *Slovene Studies* 9/1-2] but by some quirk of the international mail service did not survive transit between Yugoslavia and Alberta, and its publication was postponed.
- 1. For the most part, to save space, glosses in these citations are suppressed.
- 2. A valuable and well-chosen example, since it incorporates Brugmann’s Law, the lengthening attesting to *o before sonant in open syllable. See my discussion of Skt. *kālā-h* ‘time’, which matches Slavic *kolo* ‘wheel’, Hamp 1982b; length in *vāhá-* is unjustified.
- 3. This is a poor example for the vocalism, as the palatalized c- shows. The vocalism of ḷγός can now be appreciated in light of laryngeal theory, as it could not in Brugmann’s time; the Latin vowel-reduction, of course, obscures *prōdigus*.
- 4. This solitary mention is an excellent example, but would be greatly clarified with the addition of a few comparanda, e.g. *preces*, *poscō*.
- 5. These Germanic examples are deficient and unclear as illustrations. It happens that we are not over-endowed with good clear Germanic reflexes of this formation, but there certainly are some. Adjectives furnish one source: Goth. *raups*, ON. *rauðr*, OE *rēad* (→ *red*), OS *rōd*, OHG *rōt*, Lat. (dialect or borrowed) *rūfus*, Lith. *raūdas*, SCr. *rud*, OIr. *ruad*, Breton *ruz* :: ON *roðra* f. ‘blood’, Gk. ἐρεύθεω, OCS *rūděti* sę. Goth. *þarb* (neut.), ON *þarfr* ‘necessary, useful’. ON *deigr* ‘soft’. A good noun is ON *svanr*, OE OS OHG *swan* *‘the one making a sound’ :: OIr. *seinnid* ‘plays (music), sounds’, pret. *sephain* ← *sye-syon-e. Ideally we should like a Germanic example showing Verner’s Law, to indicate the original place of the accent; unfortunately those capable of reflecting this are not at all plentiful. An instance surely accessible to Brugmann is ON *haugr* ‘hill’ :: Goth. *hauhs*, OE *hēah* (→ *high*), ON *hár* (with leveled vocalism), i.e. **hauhás* : *háuhas*; the verbal nature of this root **keuk^(w)* is confirmed by Goth. *hiuhma* ← **kéuk-mon-*.

6. Notably lacking in these examples are specimens from Baltic and Slavic; we cannot of course fault Brugmann for failing to include Tocharian. An excellent set for our purpose is OCS *zqbū* ‘tooth’, Albanian Geg *dhāmb*, Tosk *dhemb*, Toch.B *keme*, A *kam*, Eng. *comb* :: OCS *z̑ebetū*, Alb. *dhemb dhimbet* ‘hurts’, Skt. *jámbhate, jámbha-* (with secondarily shifted accent). See also my discussion of Pol. *łęgi*, OCS *lqkū*, Lith. *lankùs*, (Hamp 1985a)—where, at the end of the discussion, *τόμος* should be corrected to *τομός*—and further on this type in Hamp 1984.
7. Direct Slavic evidence on this type is difficult and elusive; see my remarks in Hamp 1981.
8. For a broad exemplification of this type as attested in the branches of IE see my collection in Hamp 1982a: 165-66; see also my analysis of *cěsta*, Hamp 1983: 60-61.
9. Brugmann’s Law (see note 2) is unreliable for this class in Indo-Iranian, and subject to analogy; this was a set root, hence with a closed syllable, and should have yielded a short *a*. For the meanings of *jána-* ‘human being’ see Zimmer 1986.
10. On this productive IE compounding situation see Hamp 1985b.
11. It will be our task to enrich this class of examples. For an example with Winter’s lengthening, cf. SCr. *trāg*, see Hamp 1981.
12. Some good Germanic examples are Goth. *saggws*, ON *songr*, WGmc. *sang* :: ON *syngva*. Goth *faihs*, OE *fāh*, Skt. *péśa-*, Avestan *paesō-* :: Gk. ποικίλος. OHG. *lōh* ‘bush’, Lat. *lucus*. Besides ON *reið* fem. ‘journey, wagon’ :: *rīða* ‘to ride’, we find Goth. *þarba*, ON *þorfr* ‘need’, OE *ðearf*, OHG *darba* :: ON *ðarfr* ‘useful’; and OE *dēafu* ‘deafness’ :: *dēaf*.
13. We will not argue here the problems of exactness, adequacy, and descent of Meillet’s separate examples in light of modern knowledge.
14. The loss of distinctive word accent in an IE branch could, of course, well have deflected and disrupted such derivational paradigms; yet cf. the survival of Toch. B *plewe* ‘vessel’, *leke* ‘bed’.
15. Note that this otherwise fine example fails, however, to show the outcome of Brugmann’s Law; see note 2.
16. See note 4.
17. I have also collated Franz Miklosich’s pioneering *Vergleichende Grammatik* (1875). The excellent *Słownik prasłowiański* (Sławski 1974: 58-59) largely abbreviates but clarifies Vondrák.
18. This little list may be viewed as a contribution to an eventual revision of Rado’s eminently useful *Structure and History of the Slovene Language* (Lencek 1982), for which we are all so grateful.
19. Cf. note 11.
20. Cf. Hamp 1987.
21. The relation here is not completely clear.
22. *napòj* ‘drink for cattle’, OCS *na-poži* :: *píti* is omitted from the list: it cannot be of IE date, since the root is **peCʷi*, so-called **pōi-*.
23. Cf. Meillet’s list, quoted in my third paragraph.
24. Cf. Meillet’s list and note 6.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Brugmann, Karl. 1904. *Kurze vergleichende Grammatik der indogermanischen Sprachen*. Strassburg.
- Erhart, Adolf. 1982. *Indo-evropské jazyky. Srovnávací fonologie a morfologie*. Prague: Academia.
- Hamp, Eric P. 1981. “On the columnar accent of Slavic postverbals in -ā,” *Zborník za filologiju i lingvistiku* 24/1: 170-71.
- 1982a. “Thwaite,” 160-66 in John Anderson, ed., *Language Form and Linguistic Variation: Papers Dedicated to Angus McIntosh*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- 1982b. “2. *kālā-h* ‘time’,” *Indo-Iranian Journal* 24: 39.
- 1983. “Srbohrvaško *cěsta*, slovensko *cěsta*,” *Slavistična revija* 31: 60-61.
- 1984. “On the development of oxytone o-grade adjectives to ú-stems”, *Baltistica* 20: 141-42.
- 1985a. “Ad *Słownik etymologiczny języka polskiego*,” *Rocznik Ślawistyczny* 45: 82-83.
- 1985b. “Some archaic Greek compounds,” *American Journal of Philology* 106: 222-225.
- 1987. “On the history of *qže, qz-, vęz-*,” *Linguistique Balkanique* 30: 131-32.
- Lencek, Rado. 1982. *Structure and History of the Slovene Language*. Columbus OH: Slavica.
- Meillet, Antoine. 1934. *Introduction à l’étude comparative des langues indo-européennes*. Paris: Hachette, 7th ed.; 8th ed., 1937.
- Miklosich, Franz. 1875. *Vergleichende Grammatik der slavischen Sprachen. II, Stammbil*

- dungslehre*. Vienna: Braumüller.
- Pleteršnik, Maks. 1894. *Slovensko-nemški slovar*, 2 vols. Ljubljana: Knezoškofijstvo. [Repr., Ljubljana: Cankarjeva založba, 1974].
- Simenschy, Theofil, & Gheorge Ivănescu. 1981. *Gramatica comparată a limbilor indoeuropene*. Bucharest: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- Sławski, Franciszek, et al., eds. 1974. *Słownik prasłowiański*, I. Wrocław: Polska Akademia Nauk.
- Vondrák, Václav. 1906-08. *Vergleichende slawische Grammatik*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Zimmer, Stefan. 1986. "On a special meaning of *jána* in the *Rgveda*," *Indo-Iranian Journal* 29: 109-15.

POVZETEK

INDOEVROPSKE IZPELJANKE IZ GLAGOLOV Z o-JEVSKIM PREVOJEM V SLOVENŠČINI

Avtorju gre za inventarizacijo besedotvornega gradiva modernih jezikov in opazarja na pomanjkanje podatkov, ki bi lahko ilustrirali indoevropsko stanje. Tako na primer standardni učbeniki, stari in novi, operirajo le s skromnimi in nerepresentativnimi seznamami te vrste. Da bi prispeval k izpolnitvi te praznine, avtor objavlja seznam vseh indoevropskih izpeljank iz glagolov z o-jevskim prevojem, kar jih je našel v Pleteršnikovem slovarju. Kjer koli je to mogoče, za vsako tematsko izpeljanko daje tudi sorodno sodobno ali osnovno obliko.